

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER:  155699	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. Building B. Wing	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED  11/07/2025
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER  Envive of Hartford City		STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE  715 N Mill St Hartford City, IN 47348	

For information on the nursing home's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the nursing home or the state survey agency.

(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information)
<p>F 0609</p> <p>Level of Harm - Minimal harm or potential for actual harm</p> <p>Residents Affected - Few</p>	<p>Timely report suspected abuse, neglect, or theft and report the results of the investigation to proper authorities.</p> <p>Based on record review and interview, the facility failed to report an allegation of abuse to the State Agency within the required time frame. (Resident B) Findings include A facility reported incident, dated 10/27/25 at 4:19 p.m., submitted to the Indiana Department of Health indicated an incident occurred on 10/27/25 at 3:01 p.m. The reported incident indicated the following: On 10/27/25 Resident B reported to the Administrator that CNAs yelled at her on 10/26/25. On 10/27/25 an investigation was initiated, and the CNAs were suspended pending the investigation outcome. Review of the facility's abuse investigation file, provided on 11/6/25 at 11:49 a.m., included the following: RN 9's statement indicated Resident B's representative approached the nurses station on 10/26/25 at approximately 1:00 p.m. and asked to talk to someone about a concern she had regarding the resident. Resident B had reported to her that Resident B had overheard, at lunch, the CNAs say from around a corner that she was old enough and should not be peeing herself, and that they were not going to continue to get her up and down like a yo-yo. During an interview, on 11/7/25 at 2:13 p.m., RN 9 indicated on 10/26/25, after lunch, the CNAs were taking residents from the dining room to their rooms. Resident B's representative asked to speak to the Administrator. The resident's representative indicated Resident B had told her the CNAs had said Resident B was old enough to hold her urine, and they had to keep getting her up and down like a yo-yo to go to the bathroom. During an interview, on 11/7/25 at 2:24 p.m., RN 9 indicated she had let the Administrator know about the incident on 10/26/25 at 1:26 p.m., according to the date and time on her phone. During an interview, on 11/7/25 at 3:30 p.m., the Administrator indicated she had received a text from RN 9 about the incident with Resident B on 10/26/25. She had spoken to RN 9 and the Resident B's representative on 10/26/25. RN 9 indicated Resident B was not overly upset. The Administrator had not believed this was abuse, but more of a customer service issue since Resident B had overheard something. Once she spoke to Resident B on 10/27/25 at 3:00 p.m., and Resident B indicated the CNAs yelled at her, cried, and indicated she did not want the CNAs to be fired, the Administrator decided the situation was possible abuse. She began the investigation and notified the State Agency at that time. A current facility policy, dated 8/2024, titled Abuse, Neglect, Exploitation and Misappropriate, Reporting, and Investigating, provided by the Administrator on 11/6/25 at 4:23 p.m., indicated the following: .All reports of resident abuse (including injuries of unknown origin), neglect, exploitation, or theft/misappropriation of resident property are reported to local, state and federal agencies (as required by current regulation) and thoroughly investigation by facility management. Findings of all investigation are documented and reported.If resident abuse, neglect, exploitation, misappropriation of resident property or injury of unknown source is suspected, the suspicion must be reported immediately to the administrator and to other officials according to state law. 'Immediately' is defined as: h. within two hours of an allegation involving abuse. Cross Reference F610. This citation relates to Intake 2657526. 3.1-28(c)</p>

Any deficiency statement ending with an asterisk (\*) denotes a deficiency which the institution may be excused from correcting providing it is determined that other safeguards provide sufficient protection to the patients. (See instructions.) Except for nursing homes, the findings stated above are disclosable 90 days following the date of survey whether or not a plan of correction is provided. For nursing homes, the above findings and plans of correction are disclosable 14 days following the date these documents are made available to the facility. If deficiencies are cited, an approved plan of correction is requisite to continued program participation.

LABORATORY DIRECTOR'S OR PROVIDER/SUPPLIER REPRESENTATIVE'S SIGNATURE	TITLE	(X6) DATE
FORM CMS-2567 (02/99) Previous Versions Obsolete	Event ID:  Facility ID: 155699	If continuation sheet Page 1 of 5

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER:  155699	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. Building B. Wing	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED  11/07/2025
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER  Envive of Hartford City		STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE  715 N Mill St Hartford City, IN 47348	
For information on the nursing home's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the nursing home or the state survey agency.			
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information)		
<p>F 0610</p> <p>Level of Harm - Minimal harm or potential for actual harm</p> <p>Residents Affected - Few</p>	<p>Respond appropriately to all alleged violations.</p> <p>Based on record review and interview, the facility failed to conduct a thorough investigation of an allegation of abuse and failed to implement immediate interventions to prevent potential abuse while the investigation was in progress. (Resident B) Findings include: A facility reported incident, dated 10/27/25 at 4:19 p.m., submitted to the Indiana Department of Health indicated an incident occurred on 10/27/25 at 3:01 p.m. The reported incident indicated the following: On 10/27/25 Resident B reported to the Administrator that CNAs yelled at her on 10/26/25. On 10/27/25 an investigation was initiated, and the CNAs were suspended pending the investigation outcome. CNA 3 and CNA 5 were the CNAs mentioned in the report. The clinical record for Resident B was reviewed on 11/6/25 at 10:30 a.m. Diagnoses included fracture of lower end of left femur, chronic kidney disease, stage 4, type 2 diabetes mellitus with diabetic polyneuropathy, morbid obesity due to excess calories, anxiety disorder, and muscle weakness. Current orders included torsemide (diuretic) 20 mg daily and resident uses a mechanical lift with the assistance of two staff members. An admission Minimum Data Set (MDS) assessment, dated 9/2/25, indicated the resident was cognitively intact. She was dependent on staff assistance for toileting. She required substantial/maximal staff assistance with bathing and lower body dressing. She was frequently incontinent of bowel and bladder. A care plan, initiated 8/27/25 and revised 9/3/25, indicated that the resident had an ADL (activities of daily living) self-care performance deficit related to impaired mobility, recent femur fracture, and extensive medical history. Interventions included the following: The resident required a mechanical lift for transfers with two staff assistance (8/27/25) and the resident required extensive assistance of one or two staff assistance with toileting (9/3/25). A care plan, initiated 8/27/25 and revised 9/3/25, indicated the resident had bladder incontinence related to impaired mobility and diuretics. Interventions included the following: Clean the resident's perineal area with each incontinence episode (8/27/25) and supply the resident with toileting devices as appropriate (8/27/25). A care plan, initiated on 10/3/25 and revised on 10/29/25, indicated the resident had made false statements and accusations. She had stated she had to stay in bed all weekend because there were no clean mechanical lift pads when she had in reality stated she did not want to get up when the aides attempted to assist her. She said no one wanted to lay her down and put her on the bedpan because they cannot ever find a bedpan when in reality her bedpan was sitting right beside her bed. She said she did not receive a dessert when she had taken hers off her tray and put in her pocket prior to asking for another dessert. Interventions included the following: Care in pairs (10/3/25). A progress note, dated 10/27/25 at 4:21 p.m., indicated the physician and family were aware of the resident's concern. The resident's clinical record lacked additional information on the resident's allegation of abuse and subsequent actions by the facility. Review of the facility's grievance log for September 2025 and October 2025, provided by the Administrator on 11/6/25 at 10:12 a.m., indicated one grievance was filed on 10/26/25 at 3:00 p.m. The grievance indicated Resident B's resident representative wanted to be notified about the resident's medication changes and upcoming appointments. She was concerned about resident's heel wound progression with the resident's future discharge home. Review of the facility's abuse investigation file, provided on 11/6/25 at 11:49 a.m., included the following: CNA 5's statement on 10/28/25 indicated Resident B was in the dining room for lunch on 10/26/25. CNA 5 was informed by CNA 3 that Resident B needed changed. CNA 5 and CNA 3 laid the resident down and performed care. The nurse called the CNAs into the doorway of Resident B's room and asked if CNA 5 and CNA 3 were the two. Resident B said they were not, and the situation had not even happened that day. CNA 5 explained to the resident's representative that CNA 5 and CNA 3 had assisted the resident to lay down and performed care. RN 9's statement indicated Resident B's representative</p> <p>(continued on next page)</p>		

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER:  155699	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. Building B. Wing	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED  11/07/2025
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER  Envive of Hartford City		STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE  715 N Mill St Hartford City, IN 47348	
For information on the nursing home's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the nursing home or the state survey agency.			
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information)		
<p>F 0610</p> <p>Level of Harm - Minimal harm or potential for actual harm</p> <p>Residents Affected - Few</p>	<p>approached the nurses station on 10/26/25 at approximately 1:00 p.m. and asked to talk to someone about a concern she had regarding the resident. Resident B had reported to her that Resident B had overheard, at lunch, the CNAs say from around a corner that she was old enough and should not be peeing herself, and that they were not going to continue to get her up and down like a yo-yo. The nurse went down to the resident's room with the representative to talk to Resident B. The resident could not or would not say who the staff were that had said those things. RN 9 called the two CNAs into the resident's room and asked Resident B if they were the ones who said those things about her. She said it was not them, it was something she overheard as the aides were walking away from her on a different day. CNA 5 explained to the resident representative that the aides had laid Resident B down, provided care, and left. The Social Services soft file notes indicated the resident had been checked on 10/28/25 and 10/29/25 for any continuing concerns. The abuse investigation file lacked a statement from CNA 3 and additional staff and resident interviews regarding resident abuse. The facility provided additional investigation documents 11/6/25 at 4:20 p.m. which included abuse allegations of staff and resident interviews. One staff interview was not dated by the staff member, and one staff interview appeared to have the date of 11/6/25 written over as 10/28/25. The documents did not include a statement from CNA 3. Confidential interviews were conducted during the course of the survey and indicated the following: A staff and resident abuse allegation questionnaire was completed on 11/6/25 and not on 10/28/25 as the questionnaire was dated. A staff and resident abuse allegation questionnaire was filled out by the Administrator that did not accurately reflect if abuse had been seen by the interviewee or the correct date of 11/6/25. CNA 5 had referred to Resident B as a crybaby to staff members in the hall. She had muttered, under her breath, for another resident to put their f***ing feet up when she pushed the resident's wheelchair. It was unknown if the resident heard CNA 5. The incidents had been reported to the Administrator. Resident B had indicated CNA 5 told her to pee in her brief. Resident B had indicated she was going to tell the Administrator of that interaction. After lunch on 10/26/25, RN 9 had told CNA 3 and CNA 5 that Resident B needed toileted. The CNAs finished up with another resident, then immediately went into Resident B's room and provided care. After Resident B's care was provided, RN 9 told CNA 3 and CNA 5 that Resident B indicated the CNAs had called her a baby. RN 9 went with the CNAs into Resident B's room. RN 9 asked Resident B if the CNAs in the room were the CNAs that had said the statements she had reported. Resident B indicated it could have been them, but she did not remember. Both CNAs provided care as usual until the end of the shift, and then RN 9 questioned both the CNAs about the incident. During an interview, on 11/6/25 at 4:02 p.m., Resident B indicated some staff treat her really well, but some not so much. One girl was put on vacation because she was making fun of the resident. She couldn't remember the girl's name except it started with a K. She had been written up according to the administrator and was off for a while. The CNA got mad because she had to clean the resident up when the resident's water pills took effect. The aide told another person that the resident should act like an adult and not a baby because the resident knew when she had to go to the bathroom. The aides came into the room when the resident's representatives were in the room, and the one CNA said, You quit lying. After the CNA left, the nurse talked to the resident for a little longer, but the resident indicated she would not give a name on who talked badly about her because the facility needed help. She did not think the residents should be treated rudely, but she did not want to get anyone in trouble. During an interview, on 11/7/25 at 10:32 a.m., the resident's representative indicated she believed it was a Sunday when she had called the facility. Resident B had called her and was upset. She had run out of the appropriate size briefs. She had been incontinent, her clothes were wet, and she was afraid the incontinence</p> <p>(continued on next page)</p>		

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER:  155699	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. Building B. Wing	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED  11/07/2025
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER  Envive of Hartford City		STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE  715 N Mill St Hartford City, IN 47348	
For information on the nursing home's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the nursing home or the state survey agency.			
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information)		
<p>F 0610</p> <p>Level of Harm - Minimal harm or potential for actual harm</p> <p>Residents Affected - Few</p>	<p>would go onto the floor. She was upset because she had heard someone around the corner say that a grown woman shouldn't wet herself. The resident representative came to the facility and told the nurse she wanted to talk to the on-call person. The nurse indicated she would text the Administrator. The resident representative along with another family member went to the resident's room. Resident B was in bed. She was very upset. The nurse brought down the two CNAs who were on the unit. One CNAs indicated she was tired of being lied on. The resident was visibly upset, had tears in her eyes, and looked fearful. The resident indicated when the CNAs left that now they would be mean to her. The resident indicated she did not see who said she shouldn't wet herself, but she thought it was the one who was not always nice to other residents either. The resident representative talked to the Administrator later that day. During an interview, on 11/7/25 at 1:37 p.m., CNA 5 indicated she believed on 10/26/25 after lunch she and CNA 3 were told that Resident B needed changed. Resident B wouldn't tell the CNAs she was incontinent but told the nurse she was incontinent. The CNAs changed the resident, then were told a little later they needed to go to Resident B's room. The resident's representative was in the room with a family member when the CNAs and the nurse entered the room. Resident B said it was not them. The CNAs checked on the resident again and finished their shift. The CNAs did not write out a statement that day (10/26/25). CNA 5 told the Administrator her statement, the Administrator wrote it out, then CNA 5 signed it on 10/27/25. CNA 5 indicated Resident B knows when she goes to the bathroom. Resident B was supposed to be transferring with the walker, but she would not. Resident B did stuff to trap the CNAs in her room. When Resident B had a concern, she would not tell the staff but called her sister to report them. CNA 5 had no disciplinary actions against her while working at the facility. During an interview, on 11/7/25 at 2:13 p.m., RN 9 indicated on 10/26/25, after lunch, the CNAs were taking residents from the dining room to their rooms. Resident B's representative asked to speak to the Administrator. The resident's representative indicated Resident B had told her the CNAs had said Resident B was old enough to hold her urine, and they had to keep getting her up and down like a yo-yo to go to the bathroom. When the resident representative and RN 9 went down to Resident B's room, Resident B indicated she had overheard the conversation, and it had not happened that day. The CNAs came down to the room. She indicated she was not accusing them. Resident B did not act intimidated, but she was crying without any visible tears. After the CNAs left the room, RN 9 spoke with the resident representative for a while longer. She then called the Administrator. She did not send anyone home; the CNAs finished their shift. During an interview, on 11/7/25 at 2:24 p.m., RN 9 indicated she had let the Administrator know of the incident on 10/26/25 at 1:26 p.m. according to the date and time on her phone. During an interview, on 11/7/25 at 3:30 p.m., the Administrator indicated on 10/27/25 at 3:00 p.m., Resident B told her that the CNAs yelled at her on 10/26/25. Prior to that she had received a text from RN 9 about the situation on 10/26/25. She talked to RN 9 on the phone around 4:00 pm on 10/26/25. The resident's representative had told RN 9 that 2 CNAs said that adults don't pee their pants. RN 9 talked to Resident B who had a different story. Resident B said different times and was not sure of anything. RN 9 had said she saw the CNAs on the floor that day passing by the room and pulled them into the room for Resident B to identify as the alleged perpetrators. When Resident B was asked if the CNAs were the ones who spoke about her in the hall, she said no. RN 9 indicated Resident B was not overly upset. RN 9 told her the resident did not know if it happened that day or another time. RN 9 told the Administrator that Resident B never really got out what she meant. The Administrator called the resident's representative after she hung up with RN 9 and did not reach her. The resident's representative called the Administrator back approximately 20 minutes later and discussed the situation. The resident representative agreed Resident B</p> <p>(continued on next page)</p>		

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION	(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER:  155699	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION A. Building B. Wing	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED  11/07/2025
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER  Envive of Hartford City		STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE  715 N Mill St Hartford City, IN 47348	
For information on the nursing home's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the nursing home or the state survey agency.			
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (Each deficiency must be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information)		
<p>F 0610</p> <p>Level of Harm - Minimal harm or potential for actual harm</p> <p>Residents Affected - Few</p>	<p>kept changing her story. On 10/27/25 at 3:00 p.m., she talked to Resident B. The resident cried and indicated she did not want the CNAs to be fired or get in trouble. The Administrator began the investigation and reported the incident at that time to the State agency. The Administrator had believed this was not abuse, but more of a customer service issue since Resident B had overheard something. However, once she talked to Resident B, she felt like this was an abuse thing. The CNAs were suspended after the Administrator talked to Resident B. She had one previous report of CNA 5 swearing in the hall. The person who reported the swearing indicated no resident had been around when the swearing happened. The Administrator spoke with CNA 5 and told her swearing was not acceptable in the facility. She indicated it could be intimidating to bring alleged perpetrators into the resident's room for the resident to identify them. She was uncertain why CNA 3's statement was not included in the investigation. She indicated all parties involved should be included to ensure a thorough investigation. The staff and resident interviews regarding the abuse allegation were completed on the dates that were on the papers. A facility document, provided by the Administrator on 11/7/25 at 3:54 p.m., was notes on an interview with CNA 3. The document indicated CNA 3 said she got up Resident B and put her to bed. The resident had said no, it was not the CNAs that said the statements about her incontinence. The CNAs always go into the resident's room and take care of her. A current facility policy, dated 8/2024, titled Abuse, Neglect, Exploitation and Misappropriate, Reporting, and Investigating, provided by the Administrator on 11/6/25 at 4:23 p.m., indicated the following: .All reports of resident abuse (including injuries of unknow origin), neglect, exploitation, or theft/misappropriation of resident property are reported to local, state and federal agencies (as required by current regulation) and thoroughly investigation by facility management. Findings of all investigation are documented and reported.All allegations are thoroughly investigated.Any employee who has been accused of resident abuse is placed on leave with no resident contact until the investigation is complete. This citation relates to Intake 2657526. 3.1-28(d)</p>		